Stability of Three-Sublattice Order in $S = 1$ Bilinear–Biquadratic Heisenberg Model on Anisotropic Triangular Lattices
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The $S = 1$ bilinear–biquadratic Heisenberg model on anisotropic triangular lattices is investigated by several complementary methods. Our focus is on the stability of the three-sublattice spin nematic state against spatial anisotropy. We find that, deviated from the case of isotropic triangular lattice, quantum fluctuations enhance the three-sublattice spin nematic order is reduced. In the limit of weakly coupling chains, by mapping the systems to an effective one-dimensional model, we show that the three-sublattice spin nematic order develops at infinitesimal interchain coupling. Our results provide a complete picture for smooth crossover from the triangular-lattice case to both the square-lattice and the one-dimensional limits.
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1. Introduction

Spin nematic states are the states of quantum spin systems in which no spin-dipolar ordering exists, but spin-rotation symmetry is spontaneously broken due to the appearance of spin-quadrupolar order.1) Prominent examples for the existence of such spin nematic phases include the spin-1 bilinear–biquadratic (BLBQ) model.1,2) Interest in quantum states with spin nematic order has been raised recently by experimental findings in NiGa$_2$Si$_4$, which is an insulating quantum magnet with spin-1 Ni$^{2+}$ ions living on a triangular lattice.3) This system is found to be in a gapless ground state without spin-dipolar ordering. It has been suggested that this compound can be considered as a physical realization of the BLBQ model on a triangular lattice and the candidate ground state is characterized by a three-sublattice spin nematic order.4,5) The observed gapless excitation spectrum thus corresponds to the Nambu–Goldstone modes associated with spontaneous breaking of spin-rotation symmetry.

While consensus has been reached for the ground states of the BLBQ model on a triangular lattice,1) physics for spatially anisotropic models has not yet been addressed. Reminding that an incommensurate order can in general emerge in spatially anisotropic models has not yet been addressed. Such a phase may occur as well in the BLBQ model under varying spatial anisotropy.

The spin-1 BLBQ model on anisotropic triangular lattices [see Fig. 1(a)] is defined by the Hamiltonian,

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[ \cos \theta S_i \cdot S_j + \sin \theta (S_i \cdot S_j)^2 \right] + J_2 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[ \cos \theta S_i \cdot S_j + \sin \theta (S_i \cdot S_j)^2 \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $S_i$’s are spin-1 operators. We use the notations $\langle i,j \rangle$ and $\langle i,j \rangle$ to denote the nearest-neighbor bonds and the bonds along only one of the diagonals, respectively. $J_1$ and $J_2$ are the coupling strengths on the corresponding bonds. The relative strength of the linear and the biquadratic couplings is parameterized by $\theta$. Here $\alpha = J_2 / J_1$ defines the extent of spatial anisotropy. As the anisotropy $\alpha$ increases from zero, the model changes from the square lattice to the isotropic triangular lattice, and eventually to decoupled chains. We concentrate on the parameter region of $J_1, J_2 \geq 0$ and $\pi/4 \leq \theta < \pi/2$, where the ground states with three-sublattice spin nematic order are expected.

The model in Eq. (1) includes several limiting cases, in which the ground states are known:

$\alpha = 0$: the square-lattice limit, $J_1 = J_2$; $\alpha = 1$: the isotropic triangular-lattice limit, $J_1 = 2J_2$; $\alpha = \infty$: the one-dimensional limit, $J_2 = 0$.

Fig. 1. (Color online) (a) Illustration of the anisotropic triangular lattice in a square topology and the schematic representation of three-sublattice spin nematic order. Two groups of interactions, $J_1$ and $J_2$, are denoted by solid and dashed lines, respectively. Here the three mutually orthogonal vectors $d_i$ in the mean-field analysis (see Sect. 2.1) are associated with three different colors. (b) Brillouin zone of square lattice. The reduced Brillouin zone for the three-sublattice order is enclosed by dashed lines. The path used in Fig. 4 is defined as follows: $\Gamma$: $(0,0)$, $M$: $(\pi/3, \pi/3)$, $K$: $(-\pi/9, 7\pi/9)$, and $Q$: $(-5\pi/9, 5\pi/9)$.
(i) At \( J_1 = J_2 \), the anisotropic model becomes a set of decoupled one-dimensional (1D) BLBQ spin chains, in which each spin interacts with two neighbors only (i.e., the coordination number \( z = 2 \)). For each spin chain with \( \pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2 \), the system is found to be in an extended critical phase with soft modes at momenta \( k = 0, \pm 2\pi/3 \). Away from the SU(3) point (\( \theta = \pi/4 \)), this phase develops dominant antiferro-quadrupolar correlations with a period of three lattice units (i.e., almost “trimerized” ground state).8,9

(ii) At \( J_1 = J_2 \), the model in Eq. (1) is equivalent to an isotropic triangular-lattice model with \( z = 6 \). The ground state for \( \pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2 \) is shown to possess a three-sublattice spin nematic order.4,5,10–12 where the nematic directors on the three sublattices \( A, B, \) and \( C \) of the triangular lattice are orthogonal to each other (say, along \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \) and \( \hat{z} \), respectively). The schematic representation of this order is shown in Fig. 1(a).

(iii) At \( J_2 = 0 \), our model reduces to a square-lattice model with \( z = 4 \). It is established only recently that the ground state for \( \pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2 \) develops an unexpected three-sublattice spin nematic order as a consequence of a subtle quantum order-by-disorder mechanism.10–12

In this paper, the spatially anisotropic BLBQ model in Eq. (1) is investigated. We pay our attention to the effect of spatial anisotropy on the stability of the three-sublattice nematic state in this model. Our main results for generic cases of anisotropy are based on the linear flavor-wave (LFW) theory.1,2,6,14–16 which has been applied to the triangular-lattice as well as the square-lattice cases with success.5,10–12 We find that three-sublattice spin nematic order is most robust in the case of isotropic triangular lattice with anisotropy \( \alpha \equiv J_2/J_1 = 1 \). As deviated from this \( \alpha = 1 \) case, quantum fluctuations enhance and the order is reduced. This behavior is reasonable, since the coordination number \( z \) is decreased both in the \( \alpha \rightarrow 0 \) (square-lattice limit) and the \( \alpha \rightarrow \infty \) (decoupled-chain limit) cases, and stronger quantum fluctuations are thus allowed. In order to address the validity of the LFW theory, we have performed exact diagonalizations (ED) on lattices of small sizes. By comparing our LFW predictions specialized to finite-size systems with the numerical results, we find that quantum fluctuations obtained by the LFW theory are overestimated, especially in both limits of \( \alpha \ll 1 \) and \( \alpha \gg 1 \). Thus the stability region of the three-sublattice state could be larger than the LFW predictions. Since previous numerical investigations10–12 have shown nonzero order in the square-lattice case at \( \theta = \pi/4 \), one may expect that the three-sublattice order could persist down to the \( \alpha = 0 \) limit in the whole range of \( \pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2 \). In the opposite large-\( \alpha \) limit, the status is much less clear. Because there is no true long-range order at the decoupled-chain limit (\( J_1/J_2 = 1/\alpha = 0 \)), an interesting issue is whether a nonzero interchain coupling is necessary or not for the appearance of two-dimensional (2D) three-sublattice order. By mapping from the system of weakly coupled chains (\( J_1/J_2 < 1 \)) to an effective 1D model, we show that the critical value of interchain coupling is (\( J_1/J_2 \)) for all \( \pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2 \). In other words, the transition from the 2D three-sublattice phase to the 1D “trimerized” critical phase2–9 will occur at infinite \( \alpha \).

The rest part of the paper is organized as follows. Generic cases of anisotropy are discussed in Sect. 2, where details of the LFW analysis are presented in Sect. 2.1. and the comparison between the finite-size LFW and the ED results is made in Sect. 2.2. The case in the decoupled-chain limit is explored in Sect. 3 through field-theoretical approach as well as ED calculations. The last section is devoted to our conclusions.

### 2. Generic Anisotropy

#### 2.1 Linear flavor-wave analysis

The LFW theory starts from representing the model in Eq. (1) in terms of three-flavor Schwinger bosons \( a_{\alpha,i} \) under the local constraint \( \sum_i a_{\alpha,i} a_{\alpha,i}^\dagger = 1 \).12,4,5–10–14 The Schwinger bosons \( a_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \) (with \( \alpha = x, y, z \)) create three time-reversal-invariant local basis states, \( |x\rangle = i/\sqrt{2}(|x_1 = 1 - |x_2 = -1\rangle), |y\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}|x_1 = 1 + |x_2 = -1\rangle, \) and \( |z\rangle = -i|x_1 = 0\rangle. \) In terms of these bosons, the spin operators become \( S^\alpha = \sum_{\rho,\alpha} a_{\rho,i}^\dagger a_{\alpha,i} a_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \). We denote \( d_i \) as the local ordering vector and let \( \{d_i, e_i, f_i\} \) forming a local orthonormal basis. A generic quantum local ground state can be represented by the linear combination of the three basis states \( \{d_i, e_i, f_i\} \) of the Schwinger boson vacuum. They are related to the operators \( a_{\alpha,i} \) through the relation \( a_{\alpha,i} = d_{i} + c_{\alpha,i} \) and \( f_{i} = c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \). Within the LFW analysis, we solve the local constraint \( a_{\alpha,i} + b_{\alpha,i}^\dagger + c_{\alpha,i} = 1 \) by replacing \( a_{\alpha,i} = (1 - b_{\alpha,i}^\dagger - c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger)/2 \approx 1 \) and \( f_{i} = c_{\alpha,i}^\dagger \) out of the Schwinger boson vacuum. Therefore, it is clear that the \( d_i \) vectors are mutually orthogonal.13 In the case of isotropic triangular lattice, these \( d_i \) vectors are given by the unit vectors along the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions on the three sublattices [see Fig. 1(a)]. Employing this mean-field condition and the approximate expression for \( a_{\alpha,i} \), the model in Eq. (1) reduces to the following quadratic LFW Hamiltonian (up to a constant term),

\[
H_{LFW} = 2 \sum_k [\epsilon_0 (b_k^\dagger b_k + c_k^\dagger c_k) + (\Delta^E_k b_k c_{-k} + \text{h.c.})] + (\phi_k b_k^\dagger c_k + \text{h.c.})].
\]

Here the values of \( \epsilon_0 \) run over the first Brillouin zone of the square lattice and

\[
\epsilon_0 = \left( J_1 + J_2 \right) \sin \theta,
\]

\[
\Delta^E_k = \frac{\cos \theta}{2} \left[ J_1 (e^{ik_1} + e^{ik_2}) + J_2 e^{-ik_1 + ik_2} \right],
\]

\[
\phi_k = (\tan \theta - 1) \Delta^E_k.
\]

For \( k \neq 0, \pm k_0 \) with \( k_0 = (2\pi/3, 2\pi/3) \), the resulting quadratic bosonic Hamiltonian can be diagonalized by the Bogoliubov transformation, and the corresponding excitation spectra are given by

\[
\omega_{1,2}(k) = 2|\epsilon_0| + |\phi_k|^2 - |\Delta^E_k|^2 \pm \sqrt{2|\phi_k|^2 (2\epsilon_0^2 - |\Delta^E_k|^2) + \phi_k^2 (\Delta^E_k^2 + |\Delta^E_k|^2)^2}}^{1/2}.
\]

The modes for \( k = 0, \pm k_0 \) cannot be diagonalized in this way, because the Bogoliubov transformation becomes singular here. As discussed in the finite-size spin-wave theory for spin-1/2 Heisenberg model,15–16 these singular
modes have no contribution to the ground-state energy, while removal of these modes is required in the computation of order parameter.

Some general features of the LFW excitation spectrums are described below. At the SU(3) point of \( \theta = \pi/4 \), we have \( \phi_k = 0 \) and thus these two excitation modes become degenerate in energy. Away from this special point, \( \omega_1(k) \) gives a gapped mode, while \( \omega_2(k) \) is gapless and has nodes at \( k = 0, \pm k_0 \). We remind that the primitive unit cell of three-sublattice states contains three lattice sites as its basis and therefore its size becomes three times larger. As a consequence, the original Brillouin zone of square lattice behaves as an extended Brillouin zone [as shown in Fig. 1(b)], such that each branch of excitations in Eq. (4) becomes three-fold degenerate within the original Brillouin zone. As a simple check for our derivations, we point out that the obtained dispersions at \( J_2 = 0 \) do reduce to those in Ref. 10 for the square-lattice case. For the case of isotropic triangular lattice \( (J_1 = J_2) \), they are equivalent to the results in Ref. 4.

To determine the stability region of the three-sublattice states, a suitable order parameter should be measured. In the spin nematic state, spin rotational symmetry is spontaneously broken, though time reversal symmetry is preserved. In such a state, average magnetic moment must vanish \((\langle S \rangle = 0)\). Nevertheless, quadrupole order can appear, which is characterized by a nonzero expectation value of the symmetric and traceless rank-2 tensor operator

\[
Q_i^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (S_i^\alpha S_i^\beta + S_i^\beta S_i^\alpha) - \frac{2}{3} \delta^{\alpha\beta}.
\]

Here \( S_i^\alpha \) is the \( \alpha \) component of spin-1 operator at site \( i \) and \( \delta^{\alpha\beta} \) is the Kronecker delta symbol. In terms of the local ordering vector \( \mathbf{d}_i \), the expectation value of this quadrupole operator can be written as

\[
\langle Q_i^{\alpha\beta} \rangle = -q \left( \mathbf{d}_i^\alpha \mathbf{d}_i^\beta - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{\alpha\beta} \right),
\]

where the constant value of \( q \) describes the magnitude of the quadrupolar ordering. For both cases of the triangular and the square lattices,4,10 the \( \mathbf{d}_i \) vectors of the three-sublattice states point along three orthogonal directions in three different sublattices, as shown in Fig. 1(a). From Eqs. (5) and (6), we have (from now on, summation is implied over the repeated Greek indices)

\[
q = -3 \left( \langle Q_i^{\alpha\beta} \rangle \mathbf{d}_i^\alpha \mathbf{d}_i^\beta \right) = 1 - \frac{3}{2} \langle (S_i \cdot \mathbf{d}_i)^2 \rangle.
\]

At classical level, \( q = 1 \) because \( \langle (S_i \cdot \mathbf{d}_i)^2 \rangle \mathbf{d}_i \rangle = 0 \).

Within the LFW theory, \( q \) can be expressed by

\[
q = 1 - \frac{3}{2} \langle \Delta n_q \rangle
\]

with

\[
\langle \Delta n_q \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (a_i^\dagger a_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (b_i^\dagger b_i + c_i^\dagger c_i)
\]

being the deviation of the number density for the Schwinger boson \( a_i \) from its classical value of one. Here \( N \) is the total number of lattice sites. We note that this expression of \( q \) is nothing but the local moment defined in Eq. (24) of Ref. 12.

From this expression, it is obvious that the quantum correction for \( q \) comes from the non-vanishing contribution of \( \langle \Delta n_q \rangle \). When \( \langle \Delta n_q \rangle \) increases to \( 2/3 \), \( q \) vanishes. This gives a phase transition out of the three-sublattice states within the LFW theory. The explicit expression of \( \langle \Delta n_q \rangle \) is given by

\[
\langle \Delta n_q \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm k_0} \left( \frac{e_0 + |\phi_k|}{\omega_1(k)} + \frac{e_0 - |\phi_k|}{\omega_2(k)} - 1 \right).
\]

Here the singular modes at \( k = 0, \pm k_0 \) are excluded from this summation. Note that, for the case of square lattice \( (J_2 = 0) \) and at the SU(3) point \( (\theta = \pi/4) \), Eq. (10) reduces to

\[
\langle \Delta n_q \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k \neq 0, \pm k_0} \left( \frac{1}{1 - |\gamma_k|^2} - 1 \right)
\]

with \( \gamma_k = \cos((k_x - k) \alpha) \) and reproduces the previous result [see Eq. (22) of Ref. 12]. The general behavior of the order parameter \( q \) as functions of \( \alpha \) for distinct values of \( \theta \) is shown in Fig. 2. It is seen clearly that the three-sublattice nematic order is most robust at \( \alpha = 1 \). Far away from this point of isotropic triangular lattice, quantum fluctuations arising from the flavor-wave excitations become more stronger, and they destroy eventually the quadrupolar ordering in both limits of \( \alpha \to 0 \) and \( \alpha \to \infty \). Exploiting Eqs. (8) and (10) and employing the condition \( q = 0 \) as the criterion for the transitions out of the three-sublattice states, we can establish the phase boundaries of the three-sublattice states as shown in Fig. 3. We find that, in general, the lower transition points are nonzero and the upper ones are large but finite. The stability region of the three-sublattice order is largely reduced as \( \theta \) approaches the SU(3) point \( (\theta = \pi/4) \). It implies that there exist more low-lying excitations and thus larger quantum fluctuations as \( \theta \) gets closer to \( \pi/4 \).

As seen from the expression of Eq. (10), the gapless mode \( \omega_2(k) \) should make a dominant contribution in reducing the three-sublattice order. To have a better understanding of the enhancement of quantum fluctuations both in the square-lattice limit \( (\alpha \to 0) \) and the quasi-1D limit \( (\alpha \gg 1) \), it is
instructive to examine the softening behavior of this excitation mode more closely. The flavor-wave dispersions of the gapless branch $o_2(k)$ along the path defined in Fig. 1(b) for various values of anisotropy $\alpha = J_2/J_1$ are shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that, as system approaches the square-lattice limit ($\alpha \to 0$), the flavor-wave velocity at the $\Gamma$ point (defined by the slope of the dispersion relation) decreases to zero. Therefore, the excitation modes along the $\Gamma-\mathcal{M}$ line (i.e., line of $k_x = k_y$) become zero-energy modes eventually. On the other hand, in the limit of decoupled chains ($\alpha \to \infty$), the excitation energies (measured in unit of $J_2$) are softened in the whole Brillouin zone. Within the LFW theory, the disappearance of three-sublattice order in both limits of $\alpha \to 0$ and $\alpha \to \infty$ can be explained by such softening in energy.

Near the node, say, at $k = 0$, analytic expressions can be derived. By expanding $\Delta k$ in Eq. (3) near $k = 0$, we can show that the gapless flavor-wave mode behaves as

$$o_2(k)/J_1 \approx \sqrt{c_+^2 k_+^2 + c_-^2 k_-^2}$$

with $k_{\pm} = (1/\sqrt{2})(k_x \pm k_y)$,

$$c_+ = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sin(2\theta) \alpha},$$

$$c_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\sin(2\theta) (\alpha + 2)}.$$

For $\alpha \to 0$, we have $c_+ \to 0$ while $c_- \to \alpha/3$. The outcome of $c_+ = 0$ for $\alpha = 0$ gives a nodal line in the excitation spectrum along the $k_x = k_y$ direction (i.e., $\Gamma-\mathcal{M}$ line), as observed in Fig. 4. Within the LFW theory, these soft modes play a significant role in the destruction of the nematic order in the square-lattice limit, as noticed in the previous investigations. The reason for $o_2(k)/J_2$ becomes flat in the $J_1 \to 0$ limit can be easily understood in the following way. We note that, in terms of the original Schwinger boson operators $a_{i\alpha}$, the LFW Hamiltonian in general consists of a sum of independent parts, each of which describes the motion of a two-component boson mixture on a single connected cluster. For example, under the background of a given mean-field solution, the $x$ and $y$ components of the Schwinger bosons $a_{i\alpha}$ are coupled merely along the nearest-neighbor bond $(i,j)$ with local ordering vectors $\mathbf{d}_i = \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{d}_j = \hat{y}$ [say, denoted by blue and pink colors in Fig. 1(a)]. We call such bonds as $XY$ bonds. Thus the LFW excitations described by the mixture of $a_{x\alpha}$ and $a_{y\beta}$ bosons reside on the connected cluster constructed by these $XY$ bonds (i.e., the sublattice linking the sites with blue and pink colors in Fig. 1(a)). As for the present three-sublattice configuration shown in Fig. 1(a), such a connected cluster has a honeycomb structure. However, when $J_1 \to 0$, it reduces to disconnected 2-site clusters with nonzero $J_2$ coupling. Thus the LFW excitation modes become localized and can not propagate in all directions, including the diagonal direction along the decoupled $J_2$ chain. This shows...
different behavior from that of the spin-wave excitations in the aforementioned spin-1/2 cases, and explains the flat dispersion $\omega_2(k)/J_2$ in the $J_1 = 0$ limit shown in Fig. 4.

We remind that the LFW analysis is valid only when quantum fluctuations are weak (i.e., only when $\langle b_j^\dagger b_j \rangle + \langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle \ll 1$) because the local constraint, $a_i^\dagger a_i + b_j^\dagger b_j + c_i^\dagger c_i = 1$, is considered only approximatively. Therefore, we should be cautious with the LFW results about the phase boundaries shown in Fig. 3, since large quantum fluctuations are expected near the transition points. To examine the validity of the LFW predictions, comparison with exact results is necessary.

2.2 Exact diagonalization

In this subsection, we perform ED calculations for the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) on small clusters and compare the results with those obtained by the LFW analysis on the same clusters.

We note that there exist subtleties in making careful comparison of order parameter between symmetry-breaking solutions (say, LFW results) and symmetry-nonbreaking ones (say, ED findings). Such an observation has been put forward in Ref. 22 in concern with magnetic ordering in spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnets on a triangular lattice. To uncover the long-range order on lattices of small sizes, a proper quantity has to be measured in ED calculations. Here the squared quadrupole moment $Q^2$ in a given sublattice (say, A sublattice) is considered,

$$Q^2 = \left( \sum_{j \in A} Q_{ij}^a \right)^2 = \sum_{i, j \in A} (Q_{ij}^a Q_{ji}^a).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

As mentioned before, the Einstein summation convention for the repeated Greek indices is assumed. The signature of three-sublattice order will be manifested as a macroscopic value of $Q$. To obtain an order parameter that is normalized to 1 in the absence of quantum fluctuations, the sublattice quadrupole moment $Q$ should be divided by a size-dependent normalization factor. For deriving the correct normalization factor, it should be kept in mind that the sublattice quadrupole moment cannot be treated as a classical quantity. For example, it can be shown that there exists an exact operator identity: $Q_{ij}^a Q_{ji}^a = 5/3$ on a given site $i$. On the other hand, when $i$ and $j$ differ from different sites of the same sublattice, $(Q_{ij}^a Q_{ji}^a) = 2/3$ for fully aligned classical ordered state. From these observations, the maximum quantum value of $Q^2$ can be shown to be $(2N^2/27)(1 + 9/2N)$ for systems of $N$ sites. Thus a valid definition of the order parameter would be

$$q = \sqrt{\frac{27Q^2}{2N^2 \left( 1 + \frac{9}{2N} \right)}}. \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

Now $q$ saturates at one in the classical state and should be decreased by quantum fluctuations in the quantum ground state. We stress that, for careful comparison between the ED and the LFW results for small values of $N$, it is important to use the correct normalization factor in the definition of the order parameter $q$.

The comparison between the ED and the LFW results is shown in Fig. 5 for $\theta = \arctan(2)$. The ED data are calculated by using Eqs. (11) and (12). On the other hand, the LFW results for systems of finite sizes are obtained from Eqs. (8) and (10) by summing the momenta (except $k = 0, \pm k_0$) determined by the clusters in ED calculations. We find that, around the isotropic point ($\alpha = 1$), two sets of results do not differ by large amounts. For the special case of $N = 9$, good agreement can persist even down to the $\alpha = 0$ limit. However, the order parameter $q$ obtained by the ED calculations can decrease more quickly than the LFW results when $\alpha$ is increased from 1. This seems to indicate that quantum fluctuations are not properly taken into account in the LFW theory for $\alpha \gtrsim 1$. Moreover, serious reduction in the LFW results of $q$ as compared to the ED ones is observed both when $\alpha \ll 1$ and $\alpha \gg 1$. This implies that quantum fluctuations are significantly underestimated in the LFW analysis in both of the square-lattice and the quasi-1D limits. In other words, the softening of the flavor-wave excitations in both limits (see Fig. 4) should be exaggerated. Thus one has to go beyond the LFW approximation to find improvements on the excitation spectra. According to previous numerical investigations, where nonzero order was reported in the square-lattice case at $\theta = \pi/4$, the lower phase boundary obtained within the LFW theory (see Fig. 3) may be illusive. Instead, the three-sublattice order may persist down to the $\alpha = 0$ limit in the whole region of $\pi/4 \leq \theta < \pi/2$.

The above comparison suggests as well that the upper phase boundary may take much larger values than the ones estimated by the LFW theory. To achieve the true values of the transition points in the large $\alpha$ limit, it is instructive to analyze the model from its quasi-1D limit. Because there is no true long-range order in strictly 1D models, our main concern is to show whether a nonzero interchain coupling is necessary or not to establish the 2D order. This is what we shall do in the next section.

3. Weakly-Coupled-Chain Limit

When $J_1 \ll J_2$, the system described by Eq. (1) reduces to weakly coupled 1D chains with intrachain coupling
strength $J_2$ and weak interchain coupling strength $J_1$. Taking advantage of conventional mean-field treatment for the interchain coupling, our quasi-1D systems can be transformed into effective single-chain problems.

The desired effective single-chain model can be derived in the following way. Using the definition of the quadrupole operator in Eq. (5), the part of Hamiltonian with the interchain coupling $J_1$ can be rewritten as

$$H_1 = J_1 \left( \cos \theta - \sin \theta \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right) \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j + J_1 \sin \theta \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \langle Q_{ij}^{\mu} \rangle Q_{ij}^{\mu} q$$

(13)

dropping some constant terms. Again, the repeated Greek indices imply the Einstein summation convention. Assuming the three-sublattice quadrupolar ordering, in which $(S_i) = 0$ but $(Q_{ij}^{\mu})$ is non-zero, $H_1$ can be approximated by an on-site Hamiltonian,

$$\tilde{H}_1 = \sum_{\langle mn \rangle} Q_{mn}^{\mu} \left[ J_1 \sin \theta \sum_{m} \langle Q_{mn+m}^{\mu} \rangle \right]$$

(14)

where $m$ runs over all neighbors on the $J_1$ bonds for the $n$-th site along a given single chain. Substituting suitable mean-field expression for $(Q_{ij}^{\mu})$, an effective 1D Hamiltonian for the model in Eq. (1) can be written as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = J_2 \sum_{n} \left[ \cos \theta (S_n \cdot S_{n+1} + \sin \theta (S_n \cdot S_{n+1})^2 \right] + \tilde{H}_1 \quad \text{(15)}$$

This describes a 1D BLBQ chain in a self-consistent external field triggering three-sublattice quadrupolar ordering. For convenience, we set $J_2 \equiv 1$ as the energy unit in this section. We note that the self-consistent field is proportional to $J_1 \sin \theta$. It is thus expected that, for a given anisotropy (i.e., for a fixed value of $J_1$), the resulting order will be stronger as $\theta$ gets closer to $\pi/2$. This observation is consistent with our LFW results, where the stability region of the three-sublattice state is pushed toward larger $\alpha = J_2/J_1$ as $\theta \to \pi/2$.

In the following, both analytical and numerical techniques are exploited to determine the critical interchain coupling $J_{1c}$ for the emergence of 2D three-sublattice order.

### 3.1 Scaling analysis

Employing the mean-field expression of $(Q_{ij}^{\mu})$ in Eq. (6), the on-site part of Eq. (14) for the effective 1D Hamiltonian becomes

$$\tilde{H}_1 = h \sum_{m} \left[ 1 - \frac{3}{2} (S_m \cdot d_m)^2 \right]$$

(16)

Here the self-consistent field conjugate to the operator for the order parameter $q$ in Eq. (7) is defined by $h = (4/3)q J_1 \sin \theta$.

For $J_1 \ll 1$, the effective 1D Hamiltonian in Eq. (15) can be considered as a 1D BLBQ model in a weak external field $h$. Thus it should be valid to treat the effect of $h$ as a perturbation. It is known from Ref. 8 that, near $\theta = \pi/4$, the 1D BLBQ spin chain can be described by an SU(3)$_1$ Wess-Zumino-Witten conformal field theory perturbed by some marginally irrelevant current-current interactions. To see whether the three-sublattice order can be induced by vanishing self-consistent field $h$ or not, we need only to calculate the scaling dimension $\Delta_h$ of the corresponding operator in the on-site term and then determine its relevancy. If $\Delta_h < 2$, the on-site term provides a relevant perturbation and thus the three-sublattice order will be induced by an infinitesimal $h$. Otherwise, the on-site term becomes irrelevant, and the three-sublattice order can be established only when $h$ exceeds a nonzero critical field $h_c$. In this latter case, we need to determine $h_c$ numerically by solving the model explicitly.

In terms of the field-theoretical variables discussed in Ref. 8, the operator in the on-site term of Eq. (16) takes the form of the primary fields of an SU($\nu$) Wess-Zumino-Witten model for $\nu = 3$. That is, the scaling dimension $\Delta_0$ of our operator is nothing but that of those primary fields, which is equal to $1 - 1/\nu = 2/3$ according to the analysis in Ref. 8. Because of $\Delta_h < 2$, the perturbation caused by the self-consistent field is strongly relevant. Since the above scaling argument is essentially independent of the value of $\theta$, we claim that $h_c = 0$ and thus $J_{1c} = 0$ for $\pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2$, even though the field theory in Ref. 8 is derived for $\theta$ close to $\pi/4$. This implies that, for original quasi-1D anisotropic BLBQ model, true phase transitions out of the three-sublattice states actually occur at infinite $\alpha$, rather than at large but finite $\alpha$, as obtained in the LFW analysis.

We can go one step further to establish a nonperturbative relation between the order parameter $q$ and the weak interchain couplings $J_1$ by making use of the field-theoretic approach. According to the standard scaling argument, the order parameter $q$ induced by the perturbation of self-consistent field $h$ scales as $q \propto h^{\Delta_0/(2-\Delta_0)}$. Combined with the self-consistency relation, $h = (4/3)q J_1 \sin \theta$, we get $q \propto (J_1 \sin \theta)^{\Delta_0/(2-\Delta_0)}$. Since $\Delta_h = 2/3$, we conclude that $q \propto J_1 \sin \theta$. Interestingly, this result coincides with the one that is expected naively from the perturbation theory for original $H_1$ without taking mean-field approximation. This seems to indicate that it is possible to study this model in its quasi-1D limit directly from perturbation theory for $H_1$. Instead of pursuing along this direction, we shall determine the phase boundary by the numerical ED method below.

### 3.2 Exact diagonalization

In this subsection, the critical values of the interchain coupling $J_1$ are estimated by the ED method. Here we follow the treatment in Ref. 18 for quasi-1D Heisenberg antiferromagnets. Our ED results provide numerical evidences in supporting the above conclusions based on scaling arguments.

For the sake of ED calculations, we assume here that only the $zz$ component of the expectation value $(Q_{ij}^{\mu})$ is nonzero. Thus the effective 1D Hamiltonian in Eq. (15) has still spin-rotation symmetry in the $z$ direction and the total $z$-component spin remains a conserved quantity. This reduces much computational effort and thus calculations for systems of large sizes become available. In consistent with Eq. (6), the explicit form of $(Q_{ij}^{\mu})$ is taken to be

$$(Q_{ij}^{\mu}) = \langle (S_i)^2 \rangle - \frac{2}{3} = -\frac{2}{3} q \cos(\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{r})$$

Substituting this mean-field solution to Eq. (14), the on-site part of the effective 1D Hamiltonian becomes
Here the self-consistent field is again given by $h \equiv (4/3)qJ_1 \sin \theta$.

By taking $h$ as a free parameter, we diagonalize numerically the effective single-chain model up to system length $L = 18$. For the present single-chain problem, the order parameter for spin quadrupole ordering becomes

$$q = -\frac{3}{L} \sum_n \exp \left( \frac{4\pi i n}{3} \right) (Q_n^2).$$

This expression is compatible with the form of 2D order parameter used in this subsection. The results of $q$ as function of $h$ for several $\theta$'s with $L = 18$ are presented in Fig. 6(a). The susceptibility $\chi \equiv \langle \partial q/\partial h \rangle_{|h=0}$ can then be evaluated from the slope of the linear fit as shown in the inset of this figure. Within the present mean-field approach, to have a nonzero solution of $q$, the slope $\chi$ of the tangent line around $h = 0$ must be larger than that of the straight line, $q = h/[4(4/3)J_1 \sin \theta]$, given by the self-consistent relation. That is, long-range order appears only when $\chi \geq 1/[4(4/3)J_1 \sin \theta]$. This requirement leads to a critical value $J_{1,c}$ of the interchain coupling for a given length $L$,

$$J_{1,c} = \frac{1}{(4/3)\chi \sin \theta}.$$  \hfill (19)

The size dependence of $J_{1,c}$ for various $\theta$'s is shown in Fig. 6(b). As seen from this figure, size dependence of $J_{1,c}$ is more prominent as $\theta$ approaches to the SU(3) point, where more low-energy excitations appear. Except for the case of $\theta = 0.3\pi$, in which size effect may be profound, a smooth extrapolation of $J_{1,c}$ to zero in the thermodynamic limit is found for all $\theta$'s. This indicates that the 2D three-sublattice order will emerge for infinitesimal $J_1$ within the whole region of $\pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2$. In other words, the phase transitions out of the three-sublattice states actually occur at infinite $\alpha$ for original 2D anisotropic BLBQ model. Thus our ED results lend strong support on the conclusions based on the scaling arguments discussed in the previous subsection.

4. Conclusions

To summarize, we elaborate the effect of spatial anisotropy $\alpha$ on the stability of the three-sublattice spin nematic state in the model of Eq. (1) through various analytic as well as numerical approaches. Our results indicate that the three-sublattice state is stable for all $0 \leq \alpha < \infty$ within the whole region of $\pi/4 < \theta < \pi/2$. Our analysis thus gives a complete picture for smooth crossover from the triangular-lattice case to both the square-lattice and the 1D-chain limits as the anisotropy $\alpha$ is varied. Moreover, our work provides some insights on the validity of the LFW theory. Basically, the strength of the stability for the considered order can be understood within the simple LFW theory. Nevertheless, the predicted phase boundaries and the excitation spectrums is merely suggestive, especially in both of the $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha \to \infty$ limits. Because the local constraints are released in the LFW analysis, it is interesting to see if great improvement can be obtained through other approaches (say, the variational Monte Carlo method), in which these constraints are taken into account rigorously. Besides, our approaches are valid only for the limiting cases of $\alpha \sim 1$ and $\alpha \approx \infty$. Therefore, speaking strictly, the possibility for the appearance of other phases (say, those with incommensurate order) lying in the region of large but finite $\alpha$ is not ruled out by the present study. These discussions go beyond the scope of the present work and deserve further investigations.
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